STATE OF Vermont Superior Court - Environmental Division

ENTRY REGARDING MOTION		
Applicant: Champlain Oil Con	Station/Store/Restaurant	
Title: Motion for Reconsideration Filed: July 30, 2010 Filed By: Liam L. Murphy, At Objection in Response filed on Reply to Objection filed on 09/	torney for Appellant-Applican 08/17/10 by James Allan Dun	nont, Attorney for Cross Appellants
X Granted (in part) and	X Denied (in part)	Other
conducting a brief deliberation issues raised by Applicant Char- First, the Court concluded Decision on the parties' cross of Laws ("Bylaws") specifically stormwater facilities in the Caracteristics, as part of its propose specific exercise), the Court portions of Conservation Distribution Highway Commercial Zoning	n, the Court returned to the Implain Oil Co., Inc.'s motion. Ided that it must reconsider at motions for summary judgmen prohibit the installation and conservation Zoning District. Id commercial project, are enticoncluded that the Bylaws of ict lands that adjoin and supp District. To the extent that Commercial to the content of the co	pending motion on September 7, 2010. After bench to render its determinations on the two in issue not fully resolved by its July 15, 2010 to whether the Town of Ferrisburgh Zoning Bymaintenance of commercial wastewater and While Applicant must still show that such atted to conditional use approval (a highly factly not specifically prohibit such facilities on ort a commercial development on lands in the Cross-Appellants sought a summary ruling that request.
would include the entire tract of in the application, including a property of the court from hearing such an arrow and an amended application in 154 Vt. 233, 236–37 (1990). Ferrisburgh ZBA, conditioned non-conforming lots are not critical and conforming lots.	of land currently owned by Suspossible change in the notice of mended application in the first instance. Therefore, the Court REM upon Champlain Oil amendicated by its proposed develops are Ferrisburgh ZBA, Champlain	to Champlain Oil's pending application that can Burdick would be such a significant change to adjoining property owners, as to prohibit the tinstance on appeal; the Court concluded that nee by the Ferrisburgh ZBA. See <u>In re Torres</u> , ANDED the pending application back to the ng its application so that new, undersized and ment plan. In the event that such an amended in Oil shall provide all assistance required under led.
		September 8, 2010
Thomas S. Durkin, Jud	ge	Date

In re Champlain Oil Cond. Use Permit, No 200-10-09 Vtec (Entry Order on motion to reconsider)(09-08-10) Page 2.

Date copies sent to:	Clerk's Initials
Copies sent to:	

Liam L. Murphy, Attorney for Appellant Champlain Oil Company

James Allan Dumont, Attorney for Cross Appellants Debbie and Terry Allen, Judy Chaves, Don Dewees, Judy Elson, Todd Hardie, Katie Hill, Rick and Sally Kerschner, Rux Martin, Nick Patch, Karen Petersen, Kurt Plank, Jennifer Ruddy, J. Silas Towler, and the Ferrisburgh Friends of Responsible Growth, Inc.

Attorney James F. Carroll for Appellee Town of Ferrisburgh